

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,379	9 02/08/2005		Guy Denom	04246	6813
23338	7590	06/29/2006		EXAM	INER
DENNISO 1727 KING	•	LTZ & MACDON	DURAND, PAUL R		
SUITE 105				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA. VA 22314				3721	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<del>,</del>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/518,379	DENOM ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Paul Durand	3721					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
,	action is non-final.						
<i>-</i>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 December 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/04.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/518,379 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to for the reasons indicated on the attached PTO-948, Notice of Draftsperson's Drawing Review. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: reference number from
 \$\int r\o \cdots\$
 which identified the head of the cap is missing form the figures.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,379

Art Unit: 3721

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
  - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 4. Claims 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because claim 15 claims both a process and an apparatus for carrying out that process, and as such, is directed to neither a "process" nor a "machine," but rather embraces or overlaps two different statutory classes of invention. See MPEP § 2173.05 (p).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, it is unclear what limitation is being claimed, as it appears from the disclosure that the capping head and the capping means are one and the same.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,379 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

The claims continually recite idiomatic phrases such as "typically", "so as to" and "particularly".

In claim 15, the claim both a process and an apparatus for carrying out that process, and as such, is directed to neither a "process" nor a "machine," but rather embraces or overlaps two different statutory classes of invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1,4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hannon (US 3,645,062).

In claim 1, Hannon discloses the invention as claimed including a capping machine for a receptacle in the form of bottle 11, having an axis of symmetry through the longitudinal center of the bottle, having an upper threaded portion, which turns around the neck of the bottle, having a screw sealing section a head, generally at 18, a deformable skirt section 19, the device having a capping head generally indicated by rotating spindle 48, rotating at a predetermined speed around the axis of symmetry, and axial displaceable to move the device closer to the neck of the bottle, the capping head provided with screwing means comprised of spindle 48 and plunger 27, to screw the cap to the upper portion of the bottle, crimping means 68, which bends the skirt portion

Application/Control Number: 10/518,379

Art Unit: 3721

to contact the outer ring shaped portion of the bottle, the axial displacement comprising a first axial displacement of the capping head, activating the screwing means and a second axial displacement activating the crimping means, where the screwing means rotates the cap, with respect to the neck of the bottle, the screwing means applying a force to the head of the cap during all or part of the crewing step (see entire document).

What Hannon does not disclose is the specific force of 20 to 150N applied to the cap. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a predetermined torque range, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable range involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

In claim 4, Hannon discloses the invention as claimed including arms 70, carrying crimping rollers 69 at the lower end, which is moved away from the cap during the screwing step and toward the cap during the crimping step. What Hannon does not disclose is the use of multiple arms to crimp the cap. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to have provided at least two crimping arms and rollers, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

In claim 5, Hannon discloses the invention as claimed including spring, generally indicated by 43, which provides a further biasing force to the cap to facilitate the crimping step. What Hannon does not disclose is the specific spring force of 500 to

Art Unit: 3721

1500N applied to the cap. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a predetermined torque range, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable range involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

## Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 2,3 and 6-14 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Durand whose telephone number is 571-272-4459. The examiner can normally be reached on 0730-1800, Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,379 Page 7

Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Paul Durand June 22, 2006

Stephen F. Gerrity
Primary Examiner